



IN REPLYING, ADDRESS THE

FEDERAL SECURITY AGENCY
PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE

[until Sept 1]

H B L, Woods Hole
Aug 20, 1951

Dear Joan & Esther -

I thank a lot for the wonderful picture - much the most colorful I've ever "taken". Also it looks a lot more relaxed than I feel this summer. I think California was better for me than this crowded nest of holidaying business.

Actually, I like the Cape's climate & atmosphere very much, & have managed to do a fair amount of writing this summer, along with a daily swim.

I'm sorry Werner's mutants didn't come off. I'm still very much interested in looking into the autonomy of allele action, and hope to start on it this fall. The stability of yeast tempted me to start with this organism, but if it doesn't work out I'd like to take up your invitation to try the heterozygous E. coli.

Meanwhile it occurred to me that there's one simple expt you might care to try. The mode of action of streptomycin is surely very different from the purely 'static' action of the known metabolite analogues, such as sulfonamides. Their mechanisms of resistance might ^{also} be quite different. Since one would expect, if the inference concerning

qual. a ~~idea~~ suggestion is correct, that
resistance would be ~~dominant~~, & ~~under~~ ~~your~~
found it to be ~~resistant~~ with ~~inhibitory~~ ~~in~~,
how would you like to find (if you haven't
already done so) whether ~~uniform~~
resistance is dominant?

In the course of writing up some
papers on aromatic synthesis, I
remembered that your volume of reprinted
papers will include the now out-moded
diagram of arom. synth. in the Exper.
paper. You may recall that we originally
interpreted the quad, triple, & double ~~aromatic~~
as being blocked at successively later
reactions, & now know they are simply
increasingly incompletely blocked in the
same group of reactions. Might it not
be advisable, if not too late, for the
editor to insert a footnote correcting this
error? The ~~1951~~ 1951 model (which I'm really
convinced will stand) has ~~just~~ ^{recently} been
submitted to J. Baer, but I haven't
yet heard from them.

Hope you're having a good summer,
& lots of fun with the filtrable factor.
Thanks again for the lovely picture,
Best,
Bernie.

PS: As *E. coli* W & K-12 have shown one striking
difference in ~~aromatic~~ accumulation, I may try further
strains, & wish to record the parentage of the strains
now being reported. Could you send me a name for the
S. typhimurium whose offspring Norton sent me?
Thanks.